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Resumo: O objetivo deste trabalho consiste em identificar as barreiras ao comércio eletrónico em Portugal, face a outros países europeus. Foi adotado um modelo para compreender a variação das práticas de comércio eletrónico em Portugal com base em quatro dimensões: Risco Percebido, Facilidade de Uso Percebida, Utilidade Percebida, e Experiência Online. Este modelo foi testado numa amostra de 101 indivíduos, tendo sido possível encontrar uma correlação positiva entre as práticas de comércio eletrónico e a facilidade de utilização percebida, a utilidade percebida e a experiência online.
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Abstract: The purpose of this paper is to understand the obstacles to e-commerce in Portugal compared to other European countries. In order to understand the variation of the e-commerce practices in Portugal, a model was proposed. In this model four constructs were used as explanatory variables: Perceived Risks; Perceived Ease of Use; Perceived Usefulness; and Online Experience. This model was tested in a sample of 101 respondents which allowed finding a positive correlation between the E-commerce Practices and the Perceived Ease of Use, the Perceived Usefulness, and the Online Experience constructs.
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INTRODUCTION

In a study conducted by EuroStat in 2014, Portugal was considered to have a high internet penetration rate. The same study revealed that the population used the internet at least on a weekly basis, which could lead to the thought that online purchases would be high as well (EuroStats, 2014). However, the reality is that the Portuguese do not use the internet for purchasing activities as much as they should when considering their online practices. Why are then the Portuguese so unwilling to buy online when compared to other countries in EU27, such as 87% in the United Kingdom (EuroStats, 2015)? What are the variables that contribute to this phenomenon?

There aren’t many studies about the e-commerce business in Portugal with significant findings regarding the influencing variables and barriers. Furthermore, in the literature, findings regarding the correlations between the online purchasing habits and other variables are not certain, as many of them seem to have mixed findings. Thus, understanding these relations deserves further development for this reason as well.

In fact, the Portuguese demographic characteristics, habits, and thoughts might influence the e-commerce practice in different ways. However, other factors may also exert an influence: the perception of the many risks presented in e-commerce, or the difficulties using this new technology might be behind the low online purchases. Furthermore, in comparing Portugal with the rest of Europe some issues should be considered: Europe is a very complex unit (Duchesne, 2008), and each country seems to have its own consumer behavior and diverse online shopping habits (Ecommerce News, 2015). On the other hand the economic and financial crisis affected the economic power of consumers of a large number of consumers, and consequently they will not be able to use all the advantages of the digital market (Komljenovic et al. 2016).

In order to understand the reason for the low online purchases in Portugal when compared to the rest of Europe, this article attempts to uncover the direct and indirect correlations between the e-commerce adoption and other variables. A survey was conducted in order to find the real barriers that influence online purchasing for the Portuguese.

1. LITERATURE REVIEW

According to Eurostats (2016) around 85% of the households in the EU have internet connection. Still according to Eurostats (2016) 71% of the individuals use it in their daily lives. On the other hand, only 60% of the
Portuguese use the internet on a daily basis which compares with 74% that have internet access in their household. Still it is important to refer that there was a growth, between 2008 and 2016, of 43% to 71% in EU and 29% to 60% in Portugal. Lastly, it should be mentioned that the average of individuals who have never used the internet in the UE and Portugal was according to the study of 14% and 26%, respectively.

According to a report in eMarketer (2015) the UK, Germany and France are Europe's three largest ecommerce markets. In 2014, they jointly accounted for just over 60% of all digital turnovers in the region. The UK alone claimed 30.0% of digital sales, the report found. Russia was mentioned as the fastest-growing European market in 2014. Spain ranked fifth by this measure, with 4.0% of regional sales. On the other hand Portugal was not even referred. In fact the proportion of web shoppers varied widely across member states, for example ranging from 87% in the United Kingdom to 18% in Romania (Briggs, 2016). Those numbers revealed the variance between European countries in the ecommerce practice. This justifies and demonstrates the urgency to understand each nationality’s behaviour and their motivations, as well as barriers to buying online.

The main cause for many consumers in Central, Eastern and Southern Europe to be less prone to buy online is the user-friendly digital purchasing option not offered by some national e-retailers. It's clear that Europe's digital transformation is just beginning. According to eMarketer 2015’s report, it is estimated that digital platforms account for just 7.3% of overall retail sales value in Western Europe — and in Central and Eastern Europe, that slice should be even smaller: 2.8%. This was the reason we decide to understand the difference between the behavior of Portuguese and European online consumers.

In 2000, only 6.7% of the world's population had used the internet. Nowadays nearly 40.4% had been reported using it. The growth had been constant and was expected to continue for the following years (Wellman & Haythornthwaite, 2001). Also a few years ago the Mindshare Digital Normalness Index (MDNI, 2003) tried to understand the motivations of the users from 33 nations around the world. The study compared the behavioural factors of information seeking: self-expression and communication, entertainment and transition with the infrastructure score of the country. The infrastructure score depends mainly on the connection speed and the number of connections made with laptops and computers. This value is very important to differentiate countries according to their practical internet usage. Although Portugal presents an average infrastructure score, it manages to have an above normal score in all
variables except entertainment, achieving the first place in information seeking, for instance. The study only focuses on internet users and not on the general population. In the Portuguese case, there is a high level of internet penetration but there are still a great number of entities who don’t use internet along with e-commerce, and these individuals should also be considered and studied. Still, the study is very useful in concluding that the e-commerce practices are not so intrinsically related to infrastructures as previously thought, being influenced by other variables more important that should also be taken into account. There is a need to evaluate the main variables and then choose those that have or can have a higher impact in the e-commerce business.

Furthermore there are not many studies evaluating Portugal’s information, and so it’s not easy to understand the internet usage and the e-commerce business in this country. Even though the internet use is below average, Portugal still has a good internet penetration but lacks online transitions as only 23% of the individuals have bought a service or product online in 2015. It’s important to refer that, in the EU27, the mean of individuals shopping online is 43% (EuroStats, 2015).

The evolution and easiness of access to internet have altered the way many industries operate. The possibility of selling online led to a significant growth in companies’ sales. Today, creating a business became such a fast and uncomplicated process that e-commerce was elevated to a very competitive level. Despite all these facts, in some cases, success doesn’t come easy. The reality is that in order to prosper it is necessary to lead internet users to the companies’ website and convince them to acquire the products.

With such an accessible business, presenting low costs and high number of potential customers, it’s easy to understand why so many companies tried to succeed and profit with e-commerce. Every day new businesses are created with the intention of selling products or services online (Jones, 1998). To succeed in this scenario, several questions should be taken in consideration: is there an easy way to bring customers to the website? Is it possible to convince clients into buying? The first question may be answered by online or traditional marketing. Usually, the problem doesn’t appear to be the capability of convincing users to visit the website. The natural dilemma seems to be how to convince users into buying online. Why didn’t users take advantage of the benefits in buying online? In order to answer this question it is crucial to primarily understand a bit more of the e-commerce business and its adoption variables.

Many authors made several findings on what influences the consumer into buying and what are the barriers to this practice (Thompson et al.
1999, Brynjolfsson & Smith 2000, Koufaris & Hampton-Sosa 2004). Davis (1989) created a model to predict and explain the system usage based on two variables: Perceived Usefulness and Perceived Ease of Use. Based on this model, it was possible to understand why some people accepted a new technology, in this case the e-commerce, and why others rejected it. This model is one of the most used to predict technology adoption (Gefen & Straub, 2000). Although some authors criticized this model on its lack of practical or questionable heuristic value (Hindman, 2000), it was still used by many researchers (Parker et al., 1989, Venkatesh & Davis, 2000).

Consumers had the advantage of comparing online products from different websites through a fast and easy research using web search engines such as Google or Yahoo! (Rotter, 1967). The competition felt in e-commerce was of an extremely high level given that the consumer had the ability to transform its buying process into an efficient and almost effortless choice (Koufaris & Hampton-Sosa, 2004). This perspective was presented by Koufaris & Hampton-Sosa (2004) and Rotter (1967) that claim that efficiency results primarily because of the cost of the information as well as the cost and time required of acquiring information was so low.

Brynjolfsson & Smith (2000) discovered that the prices online, compared to the traditional retail outlets, were 8% to 15% lower. In sum, it was possible to understand that the customer had the ability of choosing where to buy, to compare multiple products with no effort and lower prices and choosing the delivery place, reducing even more the effort of picking it up.

With another point of view, Atif (2002) found that the five most common reasons to buy online were reduction of shopping time, timing flexibility, less physical effort, saving of aggravation and the opportunity to engage in impulse buying or directly responding to an advertisement.

Perceived ease of use is defined as the degree to which a person believes that using a particular system would be free from effort (Davis, 1989, Davis, Bagozzi et al., 1992). Davis studied the impact of the ease present in computer usage and the internet practice and concluded that there was a positive and significant correlation between the two. This phenomenon is easy to understand as in most systems, the easier the practice, the less effort will be required to the users, thereby increasing the likelihood of usage by the individuals. In order to simplify the online experience, different browsers are created resulting in user directed programs and a great number of browsers from which users can choose. These developments affect the ease to use the internet and, therefore, the e-commerce as the shopping must be done in a web browser. One can expect
that if used in the online purchases example, the e-commerce’ perceived usefulness will display a significant and positive correlation with the e-commerce activities, since the online experience of an individual has been proven to be positively correlated with online purchasing practices (Miyazaki & Fernandez, 2000, Thompson, 2001)).

Perceived usefulness can be defined as the degree to which a person believes that the use of a particular system would enhance his or her job performance (Davis, 1989, Davis, Bagozzi et al., 1992). This variable has a positive influence on the adoption of technology. Therefore, if used in the example of the e-commerce, one could expect that the e-commerce perceived usefulness has a significant and positive correlation with e-commerce activities (Gefen & Straub, 2000).

On the opposite side of the situation, a survey from Thompson (2001) concluded that over 70% of the internet users in Singapore that didn’t shop online find it difficult to judge product’s or service’s quality, didn’t feel comfortable shopping online and didn’t feel secure giving their credit card number through the internet. This survey was very useful in understanding that the non-practitioners of the e-commerce feel insecure about the outcome of the transaction. This can be explained by some concerns about several risks like credit card theft and product swindle, or through an unease in this recent and still not fully known commerce (Levin et al., 2003). Levin et al. (2003) stated that the lack of ability to see and touch the product, as well as the absence of personal service was a great disadvantage to the online commerce. Authors even concluded that these handicaps are greater in some products, usually the ones that had high-touch needs like clothing, and inferior in others, such as computer software or books. Still the importance of tactile information such as smell, vision, touch, sound and even taste must be considered as it was present in offline shopping (Childers et al., 2001). The best example of businesses that had important tactile information was experienced in groceries stores or florists. Even haptic attributes like texture, hardness, temperature or the weight must be reflected as a disadvantage in online commerce (Childers et al. 2001). These handicaps must be taken into consideration since a consumer will become frustrated with his online purchasing experience and feel less confident in the product if a barrier to direct experience is felt (Childers et al. 2001). As Komljenovic et al. (2016, p: 3) mentioned: “Our visual sense is not the most powerful in getting us interested to buy, because visual images are far more effective and memorable when they are coupled with another sense – like sound or smell” Lardner (1999) blames this occurrence on the perception of a great number of risks inherent in e-commerce. This author states that there is a great lack of public
confidence, which in turn poses a serious impediment to full-scale electronic commerce. Pavlou (2003) describes perceived risk as a subjective belief of suffering a loss in pursuit of a desired outcome. The psychological perception of these possibilities is the most important variable to be understood when exploring why so many users refused to use a technology that was so convenient and helpful (Pavlou, 2003). The perception of any type of risk in buying online is negatively correlated to future online purchases (Liebermann & Stashevsky, 2002). This relationship is found in apprentice and experience internet users although higher online experience will decrease the perceptions of the risks (Miyazaki & Fernandez, 2000, Thompson, 2001, Liebermann & Stashevsky, 2002). This risk variable should be decomposed into the many risks, or sub-risks, and fears that users suffer from (Bettman 1973, Lardner 1999, Garbarino & Strahilevitz 2004). Bettman (1973) separates it into financial, physical, psychological and social. Others even considered the technological risk, which is the fear of technological complicated innovations, usually undergone by people of higher ages (Bélanger & Carter, 2008). Corbitt et al. (2003) divides the risks into performance, financial, social, and psychological and time risks. It’s important to separate the multiples types of risks as to fully understand the actions that should be made in each case to increase the usage of the e-commerce. For instance, if a clutch of people presents a higher perception of privacy risks, the solution might be to convince them that they will have confidentiality when visiting the website or buying online. But if the problem is a higher perceived product risk, the retailers will have to gain the users’ trust and confidence in order to sell. The following table (table 1) resumes the advantages and disadvantages of the e-commerce for the companies and for the consumers.
2. DEMOGRAPHIC VARIABLES

2.1. Gender

As showed by several studies the ordinary user is usually male (Thompson & Vivien 2000, Thompson 2001). Furthermore, in a different study, researchers conclude that women are more likely to suffer from techno stress, which means:

physical and emotional burnout caused by inability to adapt to a new technology, resulting in less perceived ease of use on computers and e-commerce practices (Thompson & Vivien, 2000). Thompson & Vivien (2000) also concluded that both genders find the computer to be useful, but

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fast and uncomplicated to create</td>
<td>High competitive level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High number of potential consumers</td>
<td>Need to convince consumers into buying</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low cost to create and maintain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consumer</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fast, free and easy information</td>
<td>Credit card theft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficient and effortless process</td>
<td>Lack of ability to smell, touch, hear, taste and see</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheaper</td>
<td>Social Risks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less Physical effort</td>
<td>Psychological Risks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timing flexibility</td>
<td>Performance Risks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faster and easy to use</td>
<td>Time Risks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Possibility to answer an advertisement</td>
<td>Financial Risks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Possibility of buying impulsively</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saving of aggravation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
men consider this technology easier to learn. This is an important consideration because the more comfortable a person is using the internet and the computer, more likely they will enjoy the e-commerce business (Gefen & Straub, 2000). Women are more concerned with the numerous risks, like credit card theft, wrong perception of product, etc., that still exist in making an online purchase (Kehoe et al., 1998).

2.2. Age
Younger individuals are more likely to navigate the internet, as age has a negative relationship with internet usage (Elder et al. 1987, Zeffane & Cheek 1993, Thompson 2001). It is also known that there is a positive relationship between internet used and online shopping, so it is expected that age would have a negative correlation with online shopping (Thompson, 2001). Younger individuals spend a lesser amount of effort when buying online and have an advantage in doing so (Sorce et al. 1990, Zeffane & Cheek 1993). Older consumers were also more likely to suffer from techno stress (Elder et al., 1987). These differences are explained by Sorce, et al. (1990) that concluded that younger respondents considered online shopping as more convenient than older individuals. A positive correlation is found between the variable age and the four types of perception of risks previously identified (Liebermann & Stashevsky, 2002).

2.3. Educational levels
The difference in internet practices between high formal education and none/low education in inferior ages is minimum and has no significant meaning (Loof & Seybert, 2009). Igbaria (1993) also concludes that with higher educational levels there is less probability that the individual will suffer from techno stress, thus facilitating the computer usage. Two different studies, one from Alex Susskind (2004) and other from Yehoshua Liebermann & Stashevsky (2002), found a positive correlation between educational level and online buying. On another point of view, Larnder (1999) and Thompson (2001) found no traces of relationship between the two variables throughout their studies. Three types of risks have been proven to have a negative correlation with education (Liebermann & Stashevsky, 2002). Unfortunately, no reason is found for this occurrence (Liebermann & Stashevsky, 2002).
2.4 Income

There has been studies that conclude that income have a positive relationship with online shopping (Bagchi & Mahmood, 2004). However, there aren’t many studies that approach this variable in a rightful way as it has to be isolated from education, age, perception of risks, and other factors that may be indirectly meddled in the intended results.

3. MODEL DEVELOPMENT

Previous studies indicate that the perception of any type of risks in buying online affected negatively the e-commerce practices of an individual (Thompson 2001, Liebermann & Stashevsky 2002, Lim 2003, Kim et al. 2008). Some authors state that the perception of any type of risks are the main reason why the e-commerce business hasn’t been following the high internet penetration rate (Pavlou, 2003). Thus, this construct should be considered the most important barrier to online consumption. The different kind of sub-risks are influenced by different variables (Liebermann & Stashevsky, 2002). It is therefore expected that the perception of online risks will be negatively correlated with the e-commerce practices. Thus, we will propose the following hypothesis:

H1: There is a significant negative impact of Perceived Risk variable on E-commerce practices

The online experience of an individual has been debated to have a positive correlation with the online shopping practices (Thompson 2001, Liebermann & Stashevsky 2002, Garbarino & Strahilevitz 2004), so, more experienced web users are more likely to be involved in e-commerce practices. Thompson (2001) found that online purchasing has a significant and positive correlation with the online activities of downloading, browsing and messaging.

Some authors state that the online experience doesn’t influence the e-commerce practices directly, as it affects the perceived risk of security and privacy which are negatively correlated to online purchases habits (Miyazaki & Fernandez 2000, Liebermann & Stashevsky 2002, Garbarino & Strahilevitz 2004). So, indirectly, online experience has an impact on e-commerce practices. It is therefore expected that if the internet usage becomes more ordinary around the world, the online experience of the consumers will rise and so will the online purchases. The following hypothesis will be then proposed:

H2: There is a significant positive impact of Online Experience on E-commerce practices
Perceived ease of use of the e-commerce practices is expected to have a positive relationship with the online purchasing habits since the easier and free of effort the use of a system is, the more likely it is to be adopted (Davis 1989, Davis, Bagozzi et al. 1992, Gefen & Straub 2000). The technological acceptance model, also known as TAM, is used in many different studies (Venkatesh & Davis 1994, Gefen 1997, Venkatesh & Davis 2000, Perea 2004) and is one of the most important models to understand and predict technology adoption. The Perceived Ease of Use construct translates in the easiness of an individual in buying online spending less effort and time.

Therefore we propose the following hypothesis:

H3: There is a significant positive impact of Perceived Ease of Use one E-commerce practices

The perceived usefulness of the e-commerce practices is expected to present a positive correlation with the online purchasing habits of an individual (Davis 1989, Adams et al. 1992, Davis, Bagozzi et al. 1992). Like the previous construct Perceived Ease of Use, the Perceived Usefulness is presented in the technological acceptance model. The model explains that the perceived usefulness of a technology is one of the instruments to determine and predict technology adoption. Like the previous construct, the perceived usefulness is used by many authors (Adams et al. 1992, Chin & Todd 1995). Hence, we propose:

H4: There is a significant positive impact of Perceived Usefulness on E-commerce practices.

The theoretical model proposed is presented below in figure 1.

**Figure I**
Proposed Theoretical Model
4. METHODOLOGY

The chosen method of data collection was the online questionnaire. This technique ensured an advantage in time, cost, efficiency and convenience of the respondents compared to the other deliberated methods. Many authors, researching similar subjects, had chosen the online questionnaire as their method of data collection (Thompson et al. 1999, Liebermann & Stashevsky 2002, Verhagen & Dolen 2011). The survey was based in other studies and translated from English into Portuguese to ensure the native language of the respondents. As Harzing & Maznevski (2002) stated an instrument developed in one culture and language has to be translated into the language of the second culture, while at the same time preserving and maintaining the meaning of the original, since presenting a English survey to Portuguese individuals could alter the understanding of the questions, the answers and the results. Five constructs and five demographic variables were chosen. The model was tested using a Linear Regression. The survey was distributed within a sample of convenience (using the online social network Facebook and through e-mails). Before distribution, two pre-tests were made to ensure that the questionnaire didn’t have interpretation problems, errors or miss translations. Each test was conducted on 10 respondents.

To analyze the proposed relationships, five constructs were used: Perceived Risks; Online Experience; Perceived Ease of Use; Perceived Usefulness; E-Commerce. The questions of the online survey were presented in the following table (table 2), to understand the construct components.
### Table II

Items of the constructs used in the online survey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Online Experience</th>
<th>Anchor</th>
<th>Chronbach α</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| McKnight, Choudhury et al. (2002) | On average, how much time per week do you spend on each of the following web activities?  
1. Reading the newspaper on the web?  
2. Reading and/or posting messages in newsgroups?  
3. Accessing information on the web about products and services you may buy?  
4. Shopping (i.e. actually purchasing something) online? | 0 hours, more than 8 hours | 0.69 |
| (Corbit, Thanassamalit et al. 2003) | Perceived Risks  
1. I believe that on-line purchases are risky because the products/services delivered may fail to meet my expectations.  
2. I believe that on-line purchases are risky because the products/services delivered may be of inferior quality.  
3. I believe that on-line purchases are risky because the products/services delivered may be dangerous to use.  
4. I believe that on-line purchases are risky because the products/services may be available at a lower price somewhere else.  
5. I believe that on-line purchases are risky because it may cause others to think less highly of me.  
6. I believe that on-line purchases are risky because the products/services delivered may fail to fit well with my personal image or self-concept.  
7. I believe that on-line purchases are risky in terms of time because the products/services delivered may fail to be delivered within the expected time frame. | Strongly disagree, Strongly agree | 0.79 |
| (Kim, Ferrin et al. 2008) | 8. Purchasing from a Website would involve more financial risk (i.e. fraud, hard to return) when compared with more traditional ways of shopping. | | 0.83 |
| (Klopping and McKinney 2004) | Perceived Ease of Use  
1. It is difficult to learn how to use the Internet to do my shopping activities  
2. I took a long time to learn how to use the Internet to do my shopping activities  
3. I often become confused when I use the Internet for my shopping activities | Strongly disagree, Strongly agree | 0.85 |
| (Klopping and McKinney 2004) | Perceived Usefulness  
1. Using the Internet enables me to accomplish my shopping tasks more quickly.  
2. Using the Internet makes it easier for me to shop.  
3. Overall, I find the Internet useful for my activities | Strongly disagree, Strongly agree | 0.88 |
| (Corbit, Thanassamalit et al. 2003) | E-Commerce Practices  
1. I have purchased from the internet:  
2. The value of my total online purchase is approximately:  
3. The ratio of online purchase to total purchase in value is approximately:  
4. I anticipate that my online purchasing within the next 2 years will be most likely to: | Never, More than 5 times, less than €10, more than €1000, less than 1%, more than 20%, decrease sharply, increase sharply | 0.8 |
The Online Experience construct from the McKnight et. al. survey (2002) used a 7 Likert scale identical to the one used in this article. The authors reported a Cronbach for this construct equal to 0.69. This construct was measured through four questions regarding the number of hours that each individual spent on a weekly basis in four online practices. In order to measure the perception of risk we relied on the scale proposed by Corbitt et al. (2003), which consisted of seven questions. In order to add the payment risk, another question was added from Kim et. al. (2008). The Perceived Ease of Use and the Perceived Usefulness constructs were adopted from the Klopping and McKinney (2004) study. The remaining construct - E-Commerce – was based on Corbitt et. al. (2003) study.

5. RESULTS

The collected sample is composed by 101 inquiries which included 53.1% answers from female and 46.9% from the male gender with ages comprehended between 15 and 82 years old (average = 31.4 years old). Around 80% of participants have higher education. Most participants are single (76%) and professionally active (77%).

5.1. Exploratory Factor Analysis

An exploratory factor analysis was conducted in order to measure the relationship between the observed and the unobservable variables and thus verify the hypothesis that the selected items are associated with specific factors. The factor loading of each question represents the scales’ validation for the analysis and measurement of specific constructs, demonstrating the correlation between the original variable and the factors (Hair et al., 2006). According to Hair (2006) the value of the factor loadings must be higher than ±0.4, being minimally accepted near this limit (Park, 2006). It is important to refer that all this statements are based on a significance level of 0.05 (Hair et al., 2006).

The following table (table 3) presents the collected factor loadings for each question.
Table III  
Results from the Confirmatory Factor Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construct</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Factor Loading</th>
<th>Cronbach's Alpha</th>
<th>Nº of Items</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Online Experience</td>
<td>OE</td>
<td>OE1</td>
<td>-0.487</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OE2</td>
<td>0.490</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OE3</td>
<td>0.535</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>OE4</td>
<td>0.587</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.716</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived Risks</td>
<td>PR</td>
<td>PR1</td>
<td>-0.675</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PR2</td>
<td>-0.573</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PR3</td>
<td>-0.596</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PR4</td>
<td>-0.649</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PR5</td>
<td>0.737</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PR6</td>
<td>0.622</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PR7</td>
<td>0.517</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PR8</td>
<td>-0.544</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.819</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived Usefulness</td>
<td>PU</td>
<td>PU1</td>
<td>0.649</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PU2</td>
<td>0.608</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PU3</td>
<td>0.583</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PEU1</td>
<td>-0.559</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PEU2</td>
<td>0.624</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PEU3</td>
<td>0.588</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.796</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived Ease of Use</td>
<td>PEU</td>
<td>EC1</td>
<td>0.769</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EC2</td>
<td>0.669</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EC3</td>
<td>0.717</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>EC4</td>
<td>0.374</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.855</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As it can be observed, almost all of the chosen questions achieved a factor loading value superior to 0.4. The only one that did not meet this standard was the EC4, fact that resulted in its exclusion from the E-Commerce construct. To validate the constructs, a reliability analysis was performed concerning each one of them. The output enabled the validation of all constructs with the Cronbach’s Alpha superior to 0.70 as represented in table 3. A bivariate correlation was executed in all five constructs, measuring the degree of relationship between all the independent variables and the dependent variable e-commerce habits (Mertler & Vannatta, 2002), as depicted in table 4.
Table IV
Correlation between the E-Commerce and the other constructs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construct</th>
<th>Perceived Ease of Use</th>
<th>Perceived Risk</th>
<th>Perceived Usefulness</th>
<th>Online Experience</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>,306**</td>
<td>,517**</td>
<td>,489**</td>
<td>,616**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>0,004</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

5.2. Demographics and model variables

Before testing the model, bivariate ordinal correlations were computed between each demographic variable and all the variables in the model (table 5).

Table V
Correlations between the constructs and the demographic variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construct</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Education</th>
<th>Income</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Online Experience</td>
<td>Correlation</td>
<td>,058</td>
<td>,106</td>
<td>,178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>,572</td>
<td>,303</td>
<td>,082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived Usefulness</td>
<td>Correlation</td>
<td>,024</td>
<td>,065</td>
<td>,010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>,818</td>
<td>,529</td>
<td>,919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived Ease of Use</td>
<td>Correlation</td>
<td>,161</td>
<td>,080</td>
<td>,206*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>,123</td>
<td>,446</td>
<td>,047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived Risks</td>
<td>Correlation</td>
<td>,142</td>
<td>,189</td>
<td>,249*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>,181</td>
<td>,073</td>
<td>,017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-commerce Habits</td>
<td>Correlation</td>
<td>,204</td>
<td>,013</td>
<td>,046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P</td>
<td>,056</td>
<td>,901</td>
<td>,671</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Online Experience. Contrary to initial expectations, among demographic variables, only income is significantly correlated with online experience. This positive correlation found between the demographic
variable income and the Online Experience construct was not anticipated because there were no studies previously identifying it. This may happen due to the use of new technologies such as tablets and smartphones that allow the user to surf the internet in almost any place in the world. Despite its benefits, these technologies were still expensive products and not affordable by everyone. One can then infer that individuals with financial capacity to buy these products will, in general, have more intensive internet experience. Besides, income is normally associated with higher levels of education, enabling a more complex use of the internet.

Perceived Usefulness. There was also a positive correlation between perceived usefulness and income, which was not surprising. Low income does not allow intensive consumption, neither offline nor online. Therefore, e-commerce is useless.

Perceived Ease of Use. The expected positive correlation found with the education variable lead to the conclusion that higher educated individuals perceived a greater ease to use of the e-commerce. More educated individuals are more prone to use technology and more prepared to use it in more expanded ways.

Perceived Risks. A negative correlation found, appeared to demonstrate that users with higher educational degrees felt less apprehensive about using e-commerce, which was expected, given that education prepares consumers to react more adequately to any problem which might arise from online purchase in order to solve it.

E-Commerce Practices. As expected there was a significant positive correlation between income and the E-Commerce construct, for the same reasons mentioned above.

Conceptual model test

A linear regression was used in order to test the model expected relationships simultaneously (Landau and Everitt, 2004). The model presented the construct E-Commerce as the dependent variable and the Perceived Risk, Perceived Usefulness, Perceived Ease of Use and Online Experience as the independent variables (figure 1). A linear regression is a method of analysis for assessing the strength of the relationships between each of a set of independent variables, and a single response, also known as dependent variable (Susskind, 2004). The model presented a $R^2$ of 0.517, demonstrating how much variation of the dependent variable can be explained by the independent variables, in other words, 51.7% of the answers regarding the E-Commerce construct, could be explained by the chosen independent variables (Susskind, 2004). The model presented in figure 2 shows the standardized coefficients Beta above and the t-value below the arrow, representing each relationship.
Whereas Online Experience and Perceived Usefulness are clearly good predictors of e-commerce practices, Perceived Ease of Use is not and Perceived Risks is in a borderline position, with a beta coefficient of -0.209 (t=-1.953; p=0.054).

6. DISCUSSION

6.1. Portuguese consumer

The sample of this study is in line with the profile of the typical Portuguese internet user, young and highly educated (Obercom, 2014). These demographic characteristics are different from those of the general population, that has an average of 44 years old (being 42% older than 50) and an average educational level well below the European countries average (OECD, 2014).

Results have shown that the most important factors for the explanation of e-commerce habits among internet users are online experience and perceived usefulness of e-commerce. We have also found that these variables are both negatively correlated with age and positively correlated with income. Younger internet users and users with higher income tend to perceive e-commerce as more useful and easier to use, making them more able to purchase online. This brief summary may, at least in part, explain
the Portuguese inhibition to use online commerce. In fact, the majority of the Portuguese population has relatively low income, when compared with most western countries (OECD, 2016). Besides, Portugal has an aging population. These factors create unfavourable conditions for e-commerce in Portugal.

Descriptive statistics have shown that while the average perception of e-commerce usefulness is high, average online experience is relatively low and should be regarded as problematic to e-commerce adoption, especially because this is a sample of internet users. This suggests that many people may use the internet in a somehow rudimentary way, avoiding complex actions, namely buying online. It is however predictable that, in the medium and long term, as generations, that are presently the youngest and the most prone to use technology, become the main consumers in the Portuguese market, e-commerce should experience significant changes.

The variable perceived risks has also proved to be statistically significant as predictor of e-commerce habits, if we adopt a 90%, instead of 95%, confidence level. A deeper analysis has shown that four of the eight types of risks are troublesome: fear that the product/service will fail to meet their expectations, fear that the product/service will be of inferior quality, the fear that the product/service will fail to be delivered within the expected time frame and fear of financial risks such as fraud, difficulty in devolution, etc. These must be considered and treated as main barriers to the e-commerce growth in Portugal. It was therefore imperative to ask these questions: Did the Portuguese e-commerce business failed at this point? Did the Portuguese have too high expectations? Further studies should be made in order to understand this phenomenon and to achieve the most efficient way to resolve them.

Since Portugal was situated in the corner of Europe, without many terrestrial passages for product importation, the following issues were important because the e-commerce was a global business. The delivery cost and time may be superior in Portugal due to its geographical position, if the company was not Portuguese. It was important to ask: Did it take longer to deliver the products from other countries? Was the financial risk greater? Did the quality worsen?

6.2. Findings

This article had helped to further understand the Portuguese variables for online purchases. Online experience and perception of usefulness had been proven to have a positive impact over e-commerce practices. In this study, ease of use, did not prove to be a predictor of e-commerce practices in the Portuguese population.
Regarding the demographic variables it was important to refer that the high age of the Portuguese increased the perception of risks and lowered the e-commerce consumption. The age variable also had a negative impact in the online experience, where younger individuals seemed to have higher values, and thus higher e-commerce practices. The correlation found between the educational level and the Perception of Ease of Use and the Perception of Risks results in an indirect relationship with the e-commerce practices and should be studied. It was imperative to refer that the correlations found between education and Perceived Ease of Use and Perceived Risk may be of great importance in order to understand if the education levels do interfere with the online purchasing habits of an individual. For last, the gender variable seemed to have no influence whatsoever in any of the discussed variables. It should be determined if the online experience of both genders was, in fact, like it was found in this sample, equivalent, by creating a new study where their online experience was compared.

In sum, although the Portuguese might seem to spend a great number of hours seeking information online, the perception of risks seemed to still inhibit the online shopping practice. The demographic characteristics, such as age and income of the Portuguese, seemed to aggravate the perception of the risks associated with the e-commerce habits, reducing its practice. For that is extremely mandatory to empower consumers which means to provide them with proper regulatory framework and effective tools that will enable them to make informed and responsible decisions about buying products and services (Komljenovic et al., 2016). An alternative will be the availability of online assistance and efficient customer support. Online websites should be made hassle-free through easy to understand language. Special discounts may be offered to consumers making purchases online. People are afraid of data confidentiality in online websites. Support can be offered to consumers to overcome their fears (Khare, 2016).

6.3. Limitations & Suggestions for Future Studies

The number of inquiries that were analyzed can be considered relatively low in order to determine if the findings were, or not, relevant to the Portuguese habits. The amount of answers from individuals with lower education was also relatively low. In order to ensure that the results represented the Portuguese reality, a study should be made with a greater number of respondents to guarantee that the marital status, age and educational levels have a greater diversity
A study could be made in order to understand if the location of Portugal worsens the quality or costs of the products or services sold online and thus resulting in higher levels of perceived risks.
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